Emotion influences multiple aspects of cognition, and the impact of emotion on memory processes has been particularly well studied. Emotion is known to modulate both non-declarative and declarative forms of memory (see LaBar & Cabeza, 2006 for a review). In particular, declarative memories for emotionally salient information tend to be enhanced relative to their neutral counterparts, marked by both improved memory accuracy for emotional information (Burke, Heuer, & Reisberg, 1992; Cahill et al., 1996; Dolcos, LaBar, & Cabeza, 2004a; Dolcos, LaBar, & Cabeza, 2004b; LaBar & Phelps, 1998) as well as increased vividness of these memories (Dolcos, Labar, & Cabeza, 2005; Ochsner, 2000; Sharot, Delgado, & Phelps, 2004; Sharot & Yonelinas, 2008). These enhancements are thought to arise from the beneficial influence of emotion on the initial encoding of a memory trace (Canli, Zhao, Brewer, Gabrieli, & Cahill, 2000; Dolcos et al., 2004a; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004) and its consolidation, or strengthening, over time (Hamann, Ely, Grafton, & Kilds, 1999; Kleinsmith & Kaplan, 1963; LaBar & Phelps, 1998; Ritchey, Dolcos, & Cabeza, 2008).

At the behavioral level, emotion has robust but complex effects on declarative memory. Memory enhancements can be driven by either the intensity of the stimulus’ valence (how emotionally positive or negative it is) or its induced emotional arousal (see Kensinger, 2004 for a review). Arousal has an inverted-U relationship with memory, in that moderate levels of arousal lead to memory enhancements whereas very high levels lead to impairments (Liang, McGaugh, & Yao, 1990; Roozenendaal, Nguyen, Power, & McGaugh, 1999). The impact of emotion on memory may also change depending on which features of the memorandum are tested. For example, it has been suggested that emotion differentially impacts the gist versus details of a memory (Burke et al., 1992; Heuer & Reisberg, 1992; Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2006; Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2007b). The influence of emotion on detailed memory may be further modulated by whether...
details are central versus peripheral to the emotionally salient features of the stimulus, leading to memory enhancements or impairments, respectively (Christianson & Loftus, 1991; Kensinger, Garoff-Eaton, & Schacter, 2007a; Loftus, 1979). Thus emotion influences memory encoding in a complex manner. For these reasons, further investigation into the cognitive and neural mechanisms that support these processes is warranted.

At the neural level, a wealth of evidence supports the participation of the amygdala and medial temporal lobes (MTL) in promoting emotional memory enhancements in both animals (McGaugh, 2004) and humans (Kensinger, 2004; LaBar & Cabeza, 2006) for reviews. Recently, human neuroimaging has afforded the opportunity to examine memory processes across the entire brain; thus, there is now emerging evidence regarding the distributed neural systems that support emotional memory encoding. These neuroimaging studies have largely focused on the enhancing effects of emotion on declarative memory formation. The present meta-analysis seeks to quantitatively integrate these results, with an emphasis on how transient activations during encoding predict enhanced memory for emotional information.

1. The critical role of the amygdala and MTL memory system

Within the behavioral neuroscience literature, the amygdala has been critically implicated in the acquisition of information about the spatial location, nature and intensity of threat signals in the environment using conditioning paradigms such as avoidance learning (Davis & Whalen, 2001). Other structures in the MTL, including the hippocampus and parahippocampal gyrus, have been more generally linked with declarative memories (Eichenbaum, Yonelinas, & Ranganath, 2007). The memory modulation hypothesis proposes that amygdalar projections to the MTL declarative memory system are critical for consolidating memories for emotional memories (Cahill et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 1999). Consistent with these findings, in healthy subjects amygdala activity during memory encoding and consolidation in humans as well. In humans, the amygdala has been repeatedly shown to exhibit greater ESA for emotional than neutral memory (LaBar & Cabeza, 2006). The relation between the amygdala and MTL structures has also been investigated in humans. In parallel with animal studies indicating that co-activation of the hippocampus and amygdala is critical to emotional memory formation, neuroimaging results have also shown enhanced functional connectivity between the amygdala and memory-related regions during encoding of emotional information (Dolcos et al., 2004b; Hamann et al., 1999; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004; Kilpatrick & Cahill, 2003; Murty et al., 2008; Ritchey et al., 2008; St Jacques, Dolcos, & Cabeza, 2009). The localization of these findings has varied across papers, with some enhancements linked to the hippocampal formation (Dolcos et al., 2004b; Hamann et al., 1999; Ritchey et al., 2008) and parahippocampal cortex in posterior MTL (Kilpatrick & Cahill, 2003). Critically, a neuroimaging study investigating emotional memory encoding in patients with MTL lesions demonstrated that reciprocal connections between the amygdala and MTL were necessary to produce emotional memory enhancements (Richardson, Strange, & Dolan, 2004). Although these findings stem from fMRI data from the encoding period, they have often been interpreted as reflecting the initiation of consolidation processes that unfold over time (Hamann et al., 1999; Ritchey et al., 2008), in line with the modulation hypothesis (McGaugh, 2004). Beyond this evidence for MTL–amygdala interactions, findings regarding the MTL memory system’s specific role in emotional memory have been more varied. In one study, ESA within the anterior hippocampus and entorhinal cortex was reported to be greater for emotional than neutral stimuli (Dolcos et al., 2004b), but other studies have reported that this memory-related activity is shared equally across emotional and neutral stimuli (Cahill et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 1999; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004).

2. Beyond the amygdala and MTL: emotional memory effects across the brain

The majority of fMRI studies regarding the neural correlates of emotional memory formation have focused on interactions between the amygdala and MTL memory system. However, emotion is known to affect many facets of cognition. The amygdala itself is highly interconnected with the rest of the brain (Young, 1993), modulating processing networks which may, in turn, guide memory formation. For example, retrograde tracing studies have revealed direct feedback pathways from the amygdala to the ventral visual pathway (Amaral, Behnnea, & Kelly, 2003). This anatomical relationship between emotional and visual processing is bolstered by a wealth of data detailing emotional enhancements on activation in visual areas and perceptual encoding (Dolan & Vuilleumier, 2003; Vuilleumier & Pourtois, 2007).

Prefrontal cortex (PFC) is also known to be modulated by emotion (Pessoa, 2009) and recruited during memory encoding (Sergerie, Lepage, & Armony, 2005; Tulving, Kapur, Craik, Moscovitch, & Houle, 1994; Wagner et al., 1998). In the context of memory encoding, left inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) in particular has been linked to semantic elaboration processes (Kapur et al., 1994; Otten, Henson, & Rugg, 2001; Otten & Rugg, 2001; Prince, Delaara, & Cabeza, 2005; Prince, Tsukiura, & Cabeza, 2007), which are known to promote successful memories (Craik & Lockhart,
This region has also been identified as showing greater ESA for emotional than neutral memory (Dolcos et al., 2004a; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004), which has been interpreted as indexing enhanced elaboration of emotional stimuli.

3. Goals and questions

Thus far, fMRI studies of emotional memory enhancements in humans have tended to emphasize either (1) replicating the patterns of amygdala and MTL interactions identified by behavioral neuroscience approaches in animals (e.g., Canli et al., 2000; Dolcos et al., 2004b; Hamann et al., 1999), or (2) targeting a specific cognitive process during memory encoding, such as semantic elaboration, and characterizing the neural correlates of emotional effects on this process across the whole-brain (e.g., Kensinger et al., 2007b; Ritchey, LaBar, & Cabeza, 2010; Talmi, Anderson, Riggs, Caplan, & Moscovitch, 2008). These approaches have been valuable to the instantiation of behavioral neuroscience and cognitive psychology traditions within a cognitive neuroscience framework. However, given many differences across tasks and analyses, it has remained difficult to synthesize these varied findings with regard to the neural circuits underlying behavioral phenomena. In this quantitative meta-analysis, we aim to characterize the neural systems that have been consistently associated with emotional memory formation. To this end, we used Activation Likelihood Estimates (Laird et al., 2005) to identify regions within which reliably show greater ESA for emotional versus neutral encoding, within the subset of event-related fMRI studies that performed this contrast. We additionally propose systems neuroscience accounts for the organization of these findings, as well as how their organization may predict specific behavioral phenomena.

Specifically, we have three main goals. Although the critical role of the MTL in promoting emotional memories has been well documented, there has been little attempt to characterize the organization of these effects across the MTL (but see Dolcos et al., 2004b; Dougal, Phelps, & Davachi, 2007). First, we test the reliability of emotional ESA across the MTL, as well as its localization and organization within MTL. Second, we test the reliability of emotional ESA in regions associated with perceptual processing, as well as its specificity to the ventral visual stream. Third, we test the reliability of emotional ESA in prefrontal targets, as well as its localization within PFC. Because our meta-analysis includes fMRI studies of emotional memory encoding across a range of experimental manipulations, the latter two goals also test the reliability of these activation patterns regardless of explicit attempts to manipulate recruitment of these regions.

4. Methods

4.1. Study selection

A literature search was conducted to identify fMRI studies of successful emotional memory encoding for potential inclusion in the meta-analysis. This search was conducted on PubMed using the following search terms in mid-December 2009: (1) memory + encoding + fMRI + (affective OR arousal OR emotion OR emotional) and (2) subsequent memory + fMRI + (affective OR arousal OR arousing OR emotion OR emotional). This search yielded 195 papers from which a subset of papers were selected with the following inclusion requirements: (1) collection of fMRI data during encoding, (2) inclusion of emotional stimuli (either negative, positive, or both), (3) behavioral testing of successful declarative/episodic memory, (4) analysis relating fMRI data with successful memory performance (e.g., ESA analyses,

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>N (N Female)</th>
<th>Stimuli</th>
<th>Valence</th>
<th>Encoding</th>
<th>Ret. delay</th>
<th>Ret. task</th>
<th>EEM: Hits/CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full brain (Men)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>12 (0)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, know</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full brain (Women)</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>11 (11)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>2 weeks</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, know</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dougal</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>14 (9)</td>
<td>Words</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Recognition/source</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritchey, LaBar, and Lepage (2007)</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>17 (8)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Old/new</td>
<td>No/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensinger</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>28 (14)</td>
<td>Words</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, know</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensinger and Schacter (2005)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>16 (9)</td>
<td>Words/objects</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>1–2 days</td>
<td>Recognition/source</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensinger</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>20 (10)</td>
<td>Objects</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kensinger</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>17 (12)</td>
<td>Objects</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mickley</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>20 (10)</td>
<td>Words/scenes</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, know</td>
<td>Yes/Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mickley</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>21 (9)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, know</td>
<td>Yes/Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinmetz and Kensinger (2009)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>57 (41)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>Recall</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rasch</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>13 (7)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>20 min/1 week</td>
<td>Recognition/confidence</td>
<td>–/Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sergerie, Lepage, and Armony (2006)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>18 (9)</td>
<td>Faces</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sommer, Glascher, Moritz, and Buchel (2008)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>Words</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Intentional</td>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>Recognition/confidence</td>
<td>No/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Jacques, Talmai</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15 (15)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>Cued recall</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talmai</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>25 min</td>
<td>Recognition/confidence</td>
<td>–/Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTL</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>12 (0)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, familiar</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canli (Men)</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental: emotion</td>
<td>3 weeks</td>
<td>Recognition/rem, familiar</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canli (Women)</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>40 (18)</td>
<td>Scenes</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>Immediate, 2 weeks</td>
<td>Recognition</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mackiewicz</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>21 (10)</td>
<td>Words/scenes</td>
<td>Neg/pos</td>
<td>Incidental</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>Recognition/source</td>
<td>Yes/–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Neg = negative; pos = positive; ret = retrieval; incidental: emotion = explicit judgments about the valence and/or arousal of stimuli; rem = remember; EEM = enhancement in emotional memory; CR = corrected recognition data.

* Recollection effects for long versus short delay.
regression), (5) direct comparisons of emotional and neutral memory encoding, (6) inclusion of a healthy, young adult sample (reported separately in the case of articles studying special populations), and (7) inclusion of a voxel-wise analysis with coordinates reported across the whole-brain or restricted to the medial temporal lobe (MTL) region of interest. These studies were additionally inspected to verify that they reported results from independent samples. If overlapping samples were noted, data from only one report were included. This conservative set of inclusion criteria identified studies of successful emotional memory encoding within young, healthy volunteers. Fifteen studies with 16 sets of coordinates across the whole-brain and an additional three studies with four sets of coordinates within the MTL met criteria for inclusion in the meta-analysis. A complete list of these studies with an overview of their design characteristics is included in Table 1.

4.2. Meta-analysis techniques

Activation likelihood estimate (ALE) meta-analysis was performed using BrainMap GingerALE 2.0 (Laird et al., 2005), a coordinate-based random-effects meta-analysis for neuroimaging data. This method has been shown to produce meta-analytical results that are the most similar to gold-standard mega-analyses, which incorporate unthresholded data from each study that are typically unfeasible to obtain (Salmi-Khorshid, Smith, Kettner, Wager, & Nichols, 2009). For each study, foci of activation were entered from a contrast of successful memory encoding of emotional greater than neutral stimuli into GingerALE 2.0 in Talairach space. Studies that originally listed coordinates in MNI space were transformed into Talairach space using icbm2tal (Lancaster et al., 2007) as implemented in GingerALE 2.0. Studies that originally listed coordinates in Talairach space derived within SPM (which uses the Brett transform) were back-transformed to MNI space using tal2mni as implemented in GingerALE 2.0, then re-transformed into Talairach space also using icbm2tal. More details regarding these transformations can be found on the BrainMap website (www.brainmap.org). Foci from each individual study were smoothed by a FWHM value empirically determined as function of the study's sample size (Eickhoff et al., 2009). Across all studies activation likelihood estimates (ALE statistics) were computed for each voxel in the brain, reflecting the likelihood that a given voxel was activated across studies in a contrast of emotional > neutral encoding success. All reported data survived p < 0.05 significance FDR-corrected for multiple comparisons (Genovese, Lazar, & Nichols, 2002), as determined by permutation testing (Eickhoff et al., 2009), with a spatial extent greater than 100 mm³. Of note, given that the statistical significance of these analyses are determined by comparing ALE values with a histogram of randomly generated foci, often it may take only a few overlapping foci to contribute to a significant cluster. ALE was first performed on the 15 sets of coordinates from the full-brain analysis resulting in 141 foci. The analysis was performed again adding the four additional sets of coordinates from the medial temporal lobe to reconfirm the finding within the MTL with a larger sample resulting in 157 foci. All the data in the figures are presented on a standard template in Talairach Space. Furthermore, spherical masks surrounding each activation foci (with a radius of the smoothing kernel used in the meta-analysis) from each individual study was compared with the output of the meta-analysis to determine the percentage of studies contributing to each significant cluster in the meta-analysis (reported in Table 1).

5. Results

Behaviorally, emotional stimuli were remembered better than neutral stimuli across the studies included in the meta-analysis, with 90% of the studies reporting significant emotional memory enhancements in either hits and/or corrected recognition (Table 1). One striking aspect of this table is the paucity of studies that reported statistical comparisons of corrected recognition scores (e.g., hits minus false alarms, d') for quantifying behavioral effects. Given that emotional stimuli can induce high false alarm rates relative to neutral (Dougal & Rotello, 2007; Maratos, Allan, & Rugg, 2000; Windmann & Kutas, 2001), future studies should report whether their results persist after correction for false alarms.

ALE meta-analysis revealed a network of regions showing greater activation during successful encoding of emotional stimuli compared to neutral stimuli (Table 2). Within the MTL, consistent activations were seen across studies bilaterally in the amygdala and anterior hippocampus, with clusters extending into the surrounding entorhinal and perirhinal cortex as well as the left posterior

### Table 2

Consistently activated clusters across full-brain studies during the successful encoding of emotional greater than neutral stimuli (p < 0.05, FDR-corrected).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>BA</th>
<th>Hemi</th>
<th>Volume (mm³)</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>% Overlap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala/anterior hippocampus</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>4224</td>
<td>–22</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>–12</td>
<td>68.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle temporal gyrus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>–62</td>
<td>–4</td>
<td>31.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle occipital gyrus</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>1064</td>
<td>–50</td>
<td>–68</td>
<td>–8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parahippocampus</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>–28</td>
<td>–34</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>31.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle frontal gyrus</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>–34</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fusiform gyrus</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>–42</td>
<td>–52</td>
<td>–12</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior frontal gyrus</td>
<td>45.47</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>–50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus/parahippocampus</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>–24</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supramarginal gyrus</td>
<td>7/40</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–44</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claustrum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–14</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

% overlap refers to the number of studies whose activation foci overlapped with the meta-analysis results (see Section 4). BA = Brodmann area; Hemi = hemisphere. Coordinates are in Talairach space.

### Fig. 1

Regions showing reliable activations within the amygdalae and MTL memory system. Activation likelihood map from the full-brain analysis (p < .05, FDR-corrected) is overlaid on the Colin template. Coordinates are in Talairach space. PHG = parahippocampal gyrus.
Fig. 2. Regions showing reliable cortical activations in the ventral visual stream (a; also visible in b and c), the left prefrontal cortex (b), and the right parietal cortex (c). Activation likelihood map from the full-brain analysis (p < .05, FDR-corrected) is overlaid on the Colin template. Coordinates are in Talarach space. BA = Brodmann area.

parahippocampus (Fig. 1), during emotional memory encoding. Similarly, activations related to successful emotional versus neutral encoding were seen throughout the ventral visual stream including the middle temporal, middle occipital, and fusiform gyri (BAs 19 and 37, Fig. 2A) and in the left prefrontal cortex in the middle and inferior frontal gyrus (BAs 10 and 45/47, Fig. 2B). Significant effects were also identified in right parietal cortex/supramarginal gyrus (Fig. 2C), the left claustrum, and the right caudate. When including the studies that reported clusters only in the MTL, meta-analysis revealed a nearly identical pattern of activation in the MTL as the whole-brain meta-analysis, with significant activations bilaterally in the amygdala and anterior hippocampus, as well as the left parahippocampus.

6. Discussion

The meta-analysis revealed a network of regions that are consistently activated across studies of emotional memory encoding. Importantly, these studies all used event-related fMRI techniques; thus, our results reflect transient, emotion-related changes in the neural systems supporting successful encoding operations. We now aim to characterize the contributions of each identified region based on our knowledge of its functional anatomy, as well as how its processing is modulated by emotion. Furthermore, we attempt to use this integrated framework to generate hypotheses regarding the behavioral and neural consequences of emotion on memory.

6.1. Amygdala

The meta-analysis revealed consistent bilateral activations in the amygdala during the successful encoding of emotional memories, which is consistent with data from both human lesion studies and neuroscientific investigations in non-human animals. In fact, every study included here (Table 1) reported peaks that overlapped with at least one of the amygdala clusters identified by the meta-analysis. Human patients with damage to the amygdala exhibit deficits in arousal-mediated memory consolidation (Adolphs, Denburg, & Tranel, 2001; Adolphs, Tranel, & Buchanan, 2005; Adolphs, Tranel, & Denburg, 2000; Cahill & McGaugh, 1998a; LaBar & Phelps, 1998). Furthermore, the severity of human amygdala damage significantly correlates with deficits in emotional but not neutral declarative memory (Richardson et al., 2004). In line with these human studies, rodent studies have reliably demonstrated that lesions to the amygdala disrupt MTL-dependent memory (for a review, see McGaugh, 2004), and that stimulation of the amygdala reduces the threshold for hippocampal long-term potentiation (Akirav & Richter-Levin, 2002; Frey, Bergado-Rosado, Seidenbecher, Pape, & Frey, 2001; Ikegaya, Nakanishi, Saito, & Abe, 1997; Nakao, Matsuyama, Matsuji, & Ikegaya, 2004) and increases expression of immediate early genes critical in mediating synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus (Huff et al., 2006; McIntyre et al., 2005) via noradrenergic neurotransmission (Roozendaal, McEwen, & Chattarji, 2009). Interestingly, recent human neuroimaging studies have shown that encoding success activity in the amygdala is sensitive to pharmacological challenges that modulate noradrenergic tone (van Stegeren et al., 2005; van Stegeren, Roozendaal, Kindt, Wolf, & Joels, 2010). Together these findings suggest a necessary role for the amygdala in mediating emotional memory enhancements, and our meta-analysis results suggest that the amygdala is reliably engaged during fMRI studies of human emotional memory encoding.

It is worth noting that reliable activations were identified in both left and right amygdalae. There have been prior suggestions of lateralization effects in the amygdala, typically proposed in the context of sex differences during emotional memory encoding (Cahill, Uncapher, Kilpatrick, Alkire, & Turner, 2004; Canli, Desmond, Zhao, & Gabrieli, 2002) or differences in automatic versus evaluative processing (Glascher & Adolphs, 2003; Phelps et al., 2001). The present meta-analysis did not reveal any patterns indicative of amygdala lateralization, with no apparent differences in activation likelihood between left and right amygdalae across a wide range of studies. However, because only two studies explicitly investigated sex differences in emotional memory encoding, and the other studies did not report coordinates separately across gender, this meta-analysis did not allow for direct comparisons of sex groups or encoding demands. We cannot discount the possibility that differences would emerge under these direct assessments. A final caveat to the present amygdala findings is that the meta-analysis included all coordinates reported within amygdala, regardless of the probability threshold chosen by the original authors. Because of the relatively low percent signal change within the amygdala, the relatively high rate of signal drop-out (LaBar, Gitelman, Mesulam, & Parrish, 2001), and its small volume, along with the strong evidence linking amygdala activity with emotional memory benefits, some authors have used a priori hypotheses to justify relaxing thresholds within the anatomical boundaries of the amygdala (Cahill et al., 2004; Rasch et al., 2009) while using more stringent thresholds across the rest of the brain. While these relaxed thresholds are appropriate within the amygdala during emotional memory encoding, it is possible that the fMRI meta-analysis results may reflect some degree of amygdala over-reporting as statistical thresholds varied across studies. Future studies will need to investigate this potential confound using mega-analysis techniques (Salimi-Khorshidi et al., 2009), which analyze whole-brain, un-thresholded statistical maps.

6.2. MTL memory system

Given its necessary role in declarative memory formation (Scoville & Milner, 1957), the hippocampus and the surrounding MTL cortex have been identified as the primary target for amygdala-mediated emotional memory enhancement. However, multiple structures within the MTL could support declarative memory formation. The current meta-analysis identified reliable activations bilaterally in the anterior hippocampus and the surrounding entorhinal and perirhinal cortices. These findings are well
More specific to emotional memory, it has been strongly im-
plied (Pine, & Grillon, 2008) suggesting it may have a specific role in emo-
tional memory encoding, beyond the stimulus properties of emotional memory. One such hypothesis, which recently has been directly tested, is that memory for specific visual details should be better for arousing compared to neutral items (Kensinger et al., 2007b), though these results may be specific to negatively valenced stimuli. Another hypothesis that may be derived from these findings is that memory structures most tightly linked with the ventral stream may likewise exhibit preferential encoding effects for emotional than neutral information. The ventral stream is most densely interconnected with anterior, relative to posterior, portions of cortical MTL, particularly the perirhinal and lateral entorhinal cortices (Eichenbaum et al., 2007), thus yielding the prediction that memory-related activity in these regions may be coupled with emotion-related memory enhancements in the ventral stream, explicitly testing the role of perceptual char-

6.3. Ventral stream

The meta-analysis identified regions throughout the ventral visual pathway, including the middle occipital and middle tem-
poral gyri. These regions have been linked with the processing of visual object and form representation (Ungerleider & Haxby, 1994). These regions may play a critical role in emotional memory encoding given the amygdala’s role in modulating the extent of visual processing. Retrograde tracing studies have revealed direct feedback pathways from the amygdala to the ventral visual pathway (Amaral et al., 2003). Furthermore, human neuroimaging studies have revealed significant connectivity between the amygdala and the ventral visual stream using both resting-state fMRI (Roy et al., 2009), diffusion tensor imaging (Cohen, Elger, & Weber, 2008), and metaanalytic connectivity modeling (Robinson, Laird, Glahn, Lovallo, & Fox, 2010). This anatomical relationship between emotional and visual processing is bolstered by a wealth of behavioral and functional imaging data detailing emotional enhancements of visual processes supporting perceptual encoding. Indeed, emotionally arousing visual stimuli capture greater visual attention (Bishop, Duncan, & Lawrence, 2004; Ishai, Pessoa, Bikle, & Ungerleider, 2004; Most, Chun, Widders, & Zald, 2005; Smith, Most, Newsome, & Zald, 2006), dominate visual awareness (Alpers & Gerdes, 2007), and trigger heightened responses in perceptual processing areas compared to neutral stimuli (Damaraju, Huang, Barrett, & Pessoa, 2009; Lane, Chua, & Dolan, 1999; Lang et al., 1998; Vuilleumier, Armony, Driver, & Dolan, 2001; Vuilleumier & Driver, 2007). Furthermore, exposure to emotional stimuli has been shown to modulate the perceptual processing of unrelated neutral stimuli (Plochl, Ling, & Carrasco, 2006) via modulating activity throughout the ventral visual pathway (Padmala & Pessoa, 2008; Schmitz, De Rosa, & Anderson, 2009).

The extant literature indicates that emotional arousal impacts visual processing via back projections from the amygdala that prime further perceptual processing across the ventral visual pathway. This interaction may result in more detailed perceptual analysis of emotional items, improving the quality of information being sent to memory systems and ultimately resulting in superior memory for emotional stimuli compared to neutral. The present meta-analysis corroborates this hypothesis. Although most of the studies included in the meta-analysis did not explicitly study perceptual effects on emotional memory, reliable activations were seen throughout the ventral visual stream suggesting significant differences in visual processing of emotional encoded items. Furthermore, qualitatively, all of the studies contributing to the clusters in the ventral visual stream used visually rich stimuli (i.e., objects or scenes) and a relatively short retrieval delay, which may promote reliance on perceptual information (Uncapher & Rugg, 2005). This activation pattern makes several predictions with regard to the behavioral phenomena associated with emotional memory. One such hypothesis, which recently has been directly tested, is that memory for specific visual details should be better for arousing compared to neutral items (Kensinger et al., 2006, 2007b). Not surprisingly, neuroimaging results revealed that both the amygdala and components of the ventral visual stream participated in this effect (Kensinger et al., 2007b), though these results may be specific to negatively valenced stimuli. Another hypothesis that may be derived from these findings is that memory structures most tightly linked with the ventral stream may likewise exhibit preferential encoding effects for emotional than neutral information. The ventral stream is most densely interconnected with anterior, relative to posterior, portions of cortical MTL, particularly the perirhinal and lateral entorhinal cortices (Eichenbaum et al., 2007), thus yielding the prediction that memory-related activity in these regions may be coupled with emotion-related memory enhancements in the ventral stream. Although this pattern of findings seems to be borne out within the literature, as described in our discussion of anterior MTL findings, future studies will need to follow up on these findings to explicitly test the mechanisms and consequences of the contribution of the ventral visual stream to emotional memory, explicitly testing the role of perceptual char-
acteristics of the memoranda. Furthermore, future studies should investigate sensory modalities besides vision in order to test the generalizability of these findings.

6.4. Prefrontal cortex

The meta-analysis identified two distinct regions in left PFC that were consistently activated for emotional ESA relative to neutral ESA: a region in inferior frontal gyrus (BA 45/47) and another in anterior middle frontal gyrus (BA 10). Although there are dense bi-directional projections between the amygdala and orbitofrontal and medial sectors of PFC (Amaral & Price, 1984; Ghassahgei & Barbas, 2002; Porrino, Crane, & Goldman-Rakic, 1981) projections from the amygdala to lateral PFC regions are relatively sparse (Amaral & Price, 1984; Amaral et al., 1992; Ghassahgei & Barbas, 2002). This anatomical arrangement suggests that medial PFC regions are likely to mediate the relationship between the lateral PFC and amygdala, an idea supported by functional connectivity analyses in humans (Lieberman et al., 2007). Notably, the meta-analysis did not identify any consistent activations in medial PFC. It should be noted, however, that signal dropoff in the ventromedial PFC and orbitofrontal cortex may hinder observing activation in these areas. With this caveat in mind, emotional memory effects in the lateral PFC may arise even in the absence of corresponding processing in the medial PFC, suggesting that these areas serve some function other than simple emotion processing. Indeed, the inferior frontal gyrus (BAs 45/47) has been linked with memory encoding processes even in the absence of emotion. These processes include semantic elaboration, known to benefit subsequent memory (Otten & Rugg, 2001; Prince et al., 2005, 2007), as well as the promotion of recollection-based or associative memories (Henson, Rugg, Shallice, Josephs, & Dolan, 1999; Prince et al., 2005).

Studies reporting subsequent emotional memory effects in the IFG have emphasized this region’s role in semantic elaboration (Dolcos et al., 2004a; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004; Mickley & Kensing, 2008; Steinmetz & Kensing, 2009), with the implication that emotional information tends to command deeper forms of processing than neutral information (Christianson, 1992). In keeping with this interpretation, one recent study manipulated the level of semantic elaboration during encoding and demonstrated that emotion effects on ESA in the IFG were greater during semantic-based encoding than perceptual-based encoding (Ritchey et al., 2010).

The meta-analysis also identified a cluster in an anterior lateral portion of the PFC (BA 10). Interestingly, a recent meta-analysis of the neuroimaging studies of episodic memory for neutral stimuli demonstrated that this region is typically not engaged during the encoding of neutral information (Spaniol et al., 2009). Meta-analyses have also identified the anterior lateral portions of the PFC during working memory and multi-task processes (Gilbert et al., 2006; Owen, McMillian, Laird, & Bullmore, 2005; Schmidt et al., 2009). Hierarchical models of PFC function have posited a role for anterior PFC in representing abstract goals (Badre, 2008; Badre & D’Esposito, 2009) or in shifting attention from internally generated sources to external information (Burgess, Dunmontheil, & Gilbert, 2007). It remains unclear whether emotional memory encoding specifically recruits these types of processes more than neutral memory encoding, leaving the role of anterior PFC during emotional memory formation an open question for future research. Regions within BA 10 have also been implicated in social cognitive processes, such as representation of self, retrieval of emotional autobiographical memories, making inferences of others, and social perception (Amadio & Frith, 2006); however these regions are located medially, and do not overlap with the clusters identified in this meta-analysis.

Finally, the emotion effects in the PFC have been proposed to follow patterns of hemispheric lateralization. It has been suggested that, in general, the right hemisphere is more involved in emotional processing than the left hemisphere (Schwartz, Davidson, & Maer, 1975). Another theory regarding hemispheric specialization in PFC posits that whereas the left PFC tends to be preferentially engaged for positive, approach-related emotions, the right PFC tends to be preferentially activated in response to negative, withdrawal-related emotions (Davidson, 1992, 1998). The present meta-analysis did not find support for either of these patterns of hemispheric specialization in PFC during emotional versus neutral memory encoding. Instead, reliable activations were identified in the left PFC but not the right PFC, regardless of stimulus valence. Similarly, a meta-analysis of emotion processing failed to identify consistent lateralization effects within lateral PFC, although overall, there tended to be emotion-related activations in left lateral PFC more often than in right, contrary to previous theories (Wager, Phan, Liberonz, & Taylor, 2003). Thus, it may be that emotional lateralization effects are not robust in lateral PFC, or that they do not extend to predicting subsequent memory performance. In the present meta-analysis, left lateralization is consistent with the role of left PFC in memory encoding processes (Tulving et al., 1994; Wagner et al., 1998) and additionally highlight the influence of emotion on encoding processes, such as semantic elaboration, that are mediated by this region.

6.5. Parietal cortex

Although the parietal cortex is not discussed very often within the context of emotional memory encoding, the meta-analysis revealed a cluster in right supramarginal gyrus (BAs 7/40) within ventral parietal cortex. Parietal activations have been most often interpreted as the deployment of attentional resources, with dorsal parietal corresponding to goal-directed attention and ventral parietal to reflexive attention (Corbetta & Shulman, 2002). Within the context of memory encoding, activations in ventral parietal cortex have been associated with subsequent memory reductions, interpreted as the negative consequences of reflexive orienting to task-irrelevant thoughts or stimuli (Uncapher & Wagner, 2009). Furthermore it has been speculated that there exist situations in which reflexive orienting may prove beneficial to memory, such as when a salient feature of the memorandum itself captures attention (Uncapher & Wagner, 2009). This may provide a preliminary explanation for the present results linking ventral parietal cortex with successful emotional versus neutral memory encoding. Emotional salience acts as a pop-out feature in visual search paradigms (Ohman, Flykt, & Esteves, 2001), and emotional scenes are more likely to attract the initial saccade and longer viewing times during free viewing when paired with neutral scenes (LaBar, Mesulam, Gitelman, & Weintraub, 2000). Emotional items are also more likely to be detected during attentional blink paradigms (Anderson & Phelps, 2001; Ishai et al., 2004), and results from amygdala lesion patients show that this effect is amygdala-dependent (Anderson & Phelps, 2001). Finally, it is apparent that the effects of emotion on attention have positive consequences for subsequent memory: memory for emotional items is less disrupted than memory for neutral items when attention is divided during encoding (Kensinger & Corkin, 2004; Kern, Libkuman, & Otani, 2005; Talmi, Schmackh, Paterson, & Moscovitch, 2007). Although this interpretation is speculative, it sets forth a testable hypothesis for future research: does the ventral parietal cortex mediate beneficial effects of reflexive attention on emotional memory encoding?

6.6. Questions for future research

There remain several unanswered questions regarding neural systems predicting successful emotional memory encoding. One unresolved issue is the level of involvement assigned to the cor-
tical targets identified above. As described above, the memory modulation hypothesis has typically highlighted amygdala–MTL interactions that are specifically elicited by emotional arousal, emphasizing direct, inflexible modulation of memory consolidation (Cahill & McGaugh, 1998; McGaugh, 2004). Alternatively, emotional memory benefits may also arise as the indirect consequence of emotional modulation of other neural systems that influence memory encoding, such as attention, working memory, and semantic elaboration (Hamann, 2001; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004; Kilpatrick & Cahill, 2003; LaBar & Cabeza, 2006; Talmi et al., 2008, 2007). We assume that both direct and indirect mechanisms are likely to promote emotional memory formation, an idea supported by the distribution of regions identified by the meta-analysis. However, the present findings are unable to distinguish whether these cortical targets actually mediate the link between emotion and memory or whether they are epiphenomenal to subsequent memory benefits instantiated in MTL. Future investigations may be able to disentangle these accounts via mediation analysis (c.f., Talmi et al., 2008) and/or direct manipulation of specific cortically mediated functions. Another remaining question is whether the indirect pathways reflect upregulation of standard encoding pathways (Kensinger & Corkin, 2004), or whether they may also be seen as specialized mechanisms that distinguish emotional memory formation (Hamann, 2001). A qualitative comparison of the present results with those of Spaniol et al. (2009) reveals that many of the regions identified by our emotion comparison are also identified when neutral memory encoding is considered alone, excluding the present findings in the bilateral amygdala and BA 10. A valuable topic for future research is the circumstances under which emotional memory formation not only quantitatively but qualitatively diverges from neutral memory formation.

Another lingering issue regards the consistency of findings across the MTL. This current analysis was able to identify a limited set of regions that were reliably activated throughout the MTL during emotional memory encoding; however the current study was limited by the spatial resolution of the current methods. Recent studies within the field of declarative memory in rodents and humans have determined that engagement of different MTL subcomponents lead to differential encoding of the environment. One theory posits that the perirhinal and parahippocampal cortex support representations of items and scenes, respectively, whereas the hippocampus binds this information into a flexible memory trace (Davachi, 2006; Eichenbaum et al., 2007; but see Squire, Wixted, & Clark, 2007). However, in humans, very few studies have explicitly tested the differential contributions of substructures within the MTL during emotional memory encoding (Dolcos et al., 2004b; Dougal et al., 2007). Future studies, using high-resolution fMRI, anatomical region of interest methods, and intracranial EEG methods could better delineate the respective contributions of subcomponents of the MTL.

Another open question is the role of valence versus arousal on mediating emotional ESA. Many emotional memory studies exclusively use highly arousing, valenced memoranda, suggesting that many of the regions identified in the meta-analysis could be driven independently by arousal or valence, or could be mediated by their interaction. It has been proposed that memory effects in the amygdala are driven primarily by arousal (Hamann et al., 1999; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004; Phelps et al., 1998), whereas memory effects in the prefrontal cortex are influenced by emotional valence (Dolcos et al., 2004a; Kensinger & Corkin, 2004). For example, left posterior IFG predicts memory for negatively valenced stimuli, even in the absence of arousal, more than neutral stimuli (Kensinger & Corkin, 2004). There are also findings of differential PFC effects for positive versus negative memory: regions in the medial PFC and left IFG exhibit greater ESA for positive versus negative stimuli, though other regions in left IFG seems to promote memory for both equally (Dolcos et al., 2004a; Mickley & Kensinger, 2008). Finally, it has recently been hypothesized that reliance on controlled processes in the PFC versus perceptual processes in the ventral stream during memory encoding varies by valence, with prefrontal networks predicting positive memory and ventral stream networks predicting negative memory (Steinmetz & Kensinger, 2009). A full analysis of the influence of valence on the activation likelihood, similar to those conducted in previous meta-analyses of emotion (Phan, Wager, Taylor, & Liberson, 2002; Wager et al., 2003) would have been desirable; however, due to our explicit interest in successful emotional memory encoding, the data available for such a comparison were limited in the present meta-analysis because many of studies that used both positive and negative stimuli did not report ESA separately for each valence. Similarly, the influence of task design on subsequent emotional memory effects has yet to be resolved across the literature reviewed here. Individual studies have demonstrated that the behavioral and neural effects of emotion on memory are influenced by such elements of task design as encoding task (e.g., Jay, Caldwell-Harris, & King, 2008; Ritchey et al., 2010; Talmi et al., 2008, 2007), retrieval task (Adolphs et al., 2001; Kensinger & Schacter, 2006a), retention interval (e.g., Kleinsmith & Kaplan, 1963; LaBar & Phelps, 1998; Mackiewicz, Sarinopoulos, Clevén, & Nitschke, 2006; Ritchey et al., 2008) as well as the properties of the memoranda (Keightley, Chiew, Anderson, & Grady, 2010; Kensinger & Schacter, 2006b). The present study lacked the power to meta-analytically quantify these effects. As more emotional memory fMRI studies are conducted, meta-analytical techniques can be utilized to compare whether these variables systematically modulate the recruitment of differential neural circuitry.

Future studies of successful emotional memory encoding could be particularly informative in understanding processing changes in certain populations (i.e. healthy aging, psychiatric disorders). Recent investigations of healthy aging have revealed that older adults recruit different brain networks during emotional memory encoding compared to younger adults, tending to rely less on amygdala–MTL and more on amygdala–prefrontal cortical networks (Murty et al., 2009; St Jacques et al., 2009). Some evidence also suggests that these age-related differences are specific to memory for positive items (Addis, Leclerc, Muscatell, & Kensinger, 2010; Kensinger & Schacter, 2008). As a complement to the valence shifts identified in older adults, negativity biases in patients with major depressive have been linked to over recruitment of amygdala–MTL networks during negative memory encoding, which also correlated with depressive severity (Hamilton & Gotlib, 2008). Furthermore, deficits in emotional memory have been implicated in the diagnosis and symptoms of other mood and anxiety disorders, such as PTSD and phobia; however relatively few studies have investigated emotional memory encoding using functional imaging in these patient populations (Dickie, Brunet, Akerib, & Armony, 2008; Thomaes et al., 2009; Wolfensberger et al., 2008). With the future accumulation of neuroimaging studies of emotional encoding within these populations, meta-analysis could determine whether these group-related changes are simple differences in magnitude (i.e. more or less activity in the same network) or localization (i.e. engagement of different networks). Understanding how emotional memory effects vary in these populations may be important to the development of future therapeutic interventions, as they could provide information regarding the neural targets for both emotion regulation strategies and psychopharmacological interventions.

7. Conclusions

In this meta-analysis, we aimed to characterize the neural systems that have been consistently associated with emotional memory formation. To this end, we used Activation Likelihood
Estimates to identify regions that reliably show greater ESA for emotional versus neutral stimuli. These regions included regions within bilateral amygdala, anterior hippocampus, parahippocampal gyrus, ventral visual stream, ventral parietal cortex, and PFC. Some of these regions, such as the amygdala, anterior hippocampus, and parahippocampal gyrus, have been significantly highlighted in previous animal, patient, and neuroimaging studies of emotional memory. The specific roles of the PFC, parietal cortex, and ventral stream during emotional memory encoding, however, have been seldom investigated, even though these regions have been extensively studied within other domains of cognitive neuroscience. The consistency of findings in these cortical targets underscores the importance of generating hypotheses regarding their participation in emotional memory formation. In particular, we propose that the amygdala interacts with these structures to promote enhancements in perceptual processing, semantic elaboration, and attention, which serve to benefit subsequent memory for emotional material. Because of the complexities inherent in understanding the intersection of emotion and memory, more research is warranted that breaks down these gross constructs into more detailed analysis of cognitive subprocesses and corresponding neural mechanisms.
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